Novel K-Ras G12C Switch-II Covalent Binders Destabilize Ras and Accelerate Nucleotide Exchange
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ABSTRACT: The success of targeted covalent inhibitors in the global pharmaceutical industry has led to a resurgence of covalent drug discovery. However, covalent inhibitor design for flexible binding sites remains a difficult task due to a lack of methodological development. Here, we compared covalent docking to empirical electrophile screening against the highly dynamic target K-RasG12C. While the overall hit rate of both methods was comparable, we were able to rapidly progress a docking hit to a potent irreversible covalent binder that modifies the inactive, GDP-bound state of K-RasG12C. Hydrogen–deuterium exchange mass spectrometry was used to probe the protein dynamics of compound binding to the switch-II pocket and subsequent destabilization of the nucleotide-binding region. SOS-mediated nucleotide exchange assays showed that, contrary to prior switch-II pocket inhibitors, these new compounds appear to accelerate nucleotide exchange. This study highlights the efficiency of covalent docking as a tool for the discovery of chemically novel hits against challenging targets.

INTRODUCTION

Covalent inhibitors were specifically avoided by the pharmaceutical industry until recently, due to concerns of off-target toxicity.1,2 The recent approval of afatinib, ibrutinib, and osimertinib, which target nonconserved cysteines in the adenosine triphosphate (ATP) binding site of kinases, has accelerated interest in covalent drug discovery. These irreversible kinase inhibitors were developed using potent reversible ATP binding-site ligands as a starting scaffold, which are then endowed with an acrylamide-based electrophile (“warhead”). This approach allows the use of a mild electrophile, while relying on the potent reversible binding affinity of the inhibitor to “present” the warhead to the targeted cysteine.3

A much more challenging target is exemplified by K-Ras which has no high affinity reversible ligands except the endogenous GDP/GTP nucleotides (K0 ∼ pM).4 K-Ras is a small G protein that acts as a molecular switch to activate mitogenic signaling pathways in the presence of growth factors. Mutations to the K-Ras pathway, including G12C, render K-Ras constitutively active leading to aberrant cell proliferation. K-RasG12C is implicated in 40% of K-Ras-driven lung adenocarcinomas. A fragment-based tethering screen was used in order to discover the first K-RasG12C allosteric inhibitor.5 Tethering is carried out with reversible covalent disulfides to identify thermodynamically favorable interactions with the protein target. Covalent bond formation in this screen can be attenuated with a stringency factor (usually by adjusting the levels of 2-mercaptoethanol).6 Following hit discovery, further medicinal chemistry is required to convert disulfide hits from the screen to carbon-based electrophiles that are compatible with the cellular environment. This conversion can be challenging.

The optimization of the K-RasG12C irreversible ligands has yielded two important insights: (1) The determination of multiple co-crystal structures revealed a highly flexible ligand binding pocket (termed switch-II pocket; S-IIIP) beneath the switch-II loop. (2) Even the best irreversible inhibitors show only weak (>200 μM) binding in the absence of the warhead.5,7 These findings were initially thought to limit the druggability of K-RasG12C. Recently, however, potent on-target inhibition of K-
**Results and Discussion**

**Docking Guided Template Selection.** Structures of 24 distinct monomers of K-Ras\(^{G12C}\) in complex with a covalent compound were available for docking (Table S1). Superimposing all of the different structures illustrates the significant flexibility of the switch-II region and the caveats of docking to a static structure (Figure S1).

In order to select a suitable structure for the docking screen, we first attempted to computationally recapitulate the previous empirical tethering screen results from Ostrem et al.\(^5\) The original tethering library of 480 compounds has since doubled in size. We covalently docked this library of 960 disulfide-containing compounds as a more stringent test for enriching known binders. We identified the best candidate for docking (PDB: 4M1S chain B) as the structure that enriches for compound 6H05, the best reported K-Ras\(^{G12C}\) tethering hit (94 ± 1% modification of K-Ras\(^{G12C}\), Figure S2a).\(^7\) Compound 6H05 ranked 15/960 for this structure (top 2%). The docking pose placed the p-chloro-benzene in the hydrophobic region of S-IIP (Figure S2b) as observed in the co-crystal structure of a 6H05 analogue in complex with K-Ras\(^{G12C}\) (PDB: 4LUC).\(^7\)

We then used 4M1S chain B to dock a test set of 110 previously synthesized vinylsulfonamide-based compounds in structure–activity relationship (SAR) efforts to find more potent S-IIP binders. Vinylsulfonamide 13, the crystallographic ligand in 4M1S, ranked fourth out of this library, closely recapitulating the crystallographic binding mode (1.35 Å rmsd; Figure S2c–d). These results, in which both disulfide hits and carbon-based electrophiles were correctly selected by the program, encouraged us to use 4M1S chain B for a large-scale virtual screen against K-Ras\(^{G12C}\).

---

**Figure 1.** (a) Summary of screening methods for covalent ligand discovery. (b) Pairwise Tanimoto scores for each library were generated using ECFP4 fingerprints and presented as a 50-bin histogram.
Covalent Library Design and Docking. Prior efforts to generate K-Ras\textsuperscript{G12C} compounds have relied on disulfide tethering as a starting point to generate the high affinity reversible binding element.\textsuperscript{5,6} However, conversion of a disulfide to a carbon-based electrophile requires iterative optimization of electrophile geometry and linker length to successfully engage the target cysteine. DOCKovalent directly screens carbon electrophiles and, in doing so, optimizes ligand orientation and electrophile position.

In addition to electrophile orientation, the tuning of electrophile reactivity also represents a challenge to the design of covalent inhibitors.\textsuperscript{27} Overly reactive electrophiles may have promiscuous off-target effects, while nonreactive electrophiles may not be able to form a covalent bond with the target. Unsubstituted acrylamides are found in clinically approved medicinal chemistry to convert the disulfide to a carbon-based electrophile requires iterative optimization of electrophile geometry and linker length to successfully engage the target cysteine. DOCKovalent directly screens carbon electrophiles and, in doing so, optimizes ligand orientation and electrophile position.

To computationally explore a diverse set of acrylamides, we constructed two virtual libraries based on fragment-like (\textit{x}LogP \( \leq 3.5 \), molecular weight \( \leq 250 \), number of rotatable bonds \( \leq 5 \)) primary \( (N = 28 \, 350) \) and secondary \( (N = 31 \, 949) \) aliphatic amines (Figure 1a).\textsuperscript{28} Pairwise Tanimoto scores for all the compounds in the DOCKovalent virtual library, the acrylamide physical library and the disulfide tethering library showed increased ligand diversity in the covalent docking library (Figure 1b). Acrylamides were generated \textit{in silico} from the amine building blocks. The ligands’ conformations, stereoisomers, and protonation states were then precomputed to allow for rapid docking.

In K-Ras\textsuperscript{G12C} crystal structures, cysteine 12 usually samples two favored rotamers: (1) facing the nucleotide binding site, often seen in apo structures and (2) toward the switch-II pocket observed in ligand-bound G12C structures. These rotamers have been observed in structures with different space groups and unit cell dimensions, suggesting that they are not predetermined by crystallographic conditions. Using DOCKovalent 3.6,\textsuperscript{1,2} we docked the two libraries to both putative rotamers of cysteine 12 (\( \chi_1 = -169.9^\circ, -69.8^\circ \)) in 4M1S chain B. The top 500 compounds (top 1.5\% of each library) from each screen were manually inspected and filtered for criteria that are not assessed by the docking energy function such as internal ligand strain, unlikely protonation states, correct representation of the ligand in the docking pose, synthetic accessibility and commercial availability of the amine-based building blocks.

Docking and Empirical Screening Show Similar Hit Rates. Twenty-nine compounds from the covalent docking library were selected, synthesized, and experimentally tested against cys-light K-Ras\textsuperscript{G12C} (a truncated construct, residues 1–169, that contains only a single cysteine at position 12). For the empirical screening set, we screened an acrylamide subset \( (N = 62) \) of a carbon electrophile library (Table S2–3). To assess off-target reactivity, we also tested compound engagement to full length K-Ras\textsuperscript{WT} 1–189, which contains additional cysteines including a highly reactive, flexible C-terminal C185. Overall, the two libraries showed comparable hit rates (7–15\%) and reactivity profile (Table 1). The electrophile library contained more promiscuous acrylamides overall than the docking library (Table 1). We highlight examples of acrylamides from each library, hits 1–4, which are moderately reactive to both G12C and the control, K-Ras\textsuperscript{WT} 1–189 (Figure 2a and b).

| Table 1. Results of Mass-Spectrometry Based Screen of Electrophile Library and DOCKovalent Library |
|---------------------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| library size | DOCKovalent library |
| MW | 150–300 | <300 |
| assayed | 62 | 29 |
| hits | 9 | 2 |
| nonspecific hits | 7 | 1 |
| WT binders | 21 | 7 |

We chose to pursue acrylamide 1 from the covalent docking library based on its high reactivity to K-Ras\textsuperscript{G12C} (Figure 2a and b), its novelty compared to known K-Ras\textsuperscript{G12C} binders,\textsuperscript{5} and the chemical tractability of its scaffold. The proline linker offers only a few rotatable bonds, which may sample a few conformationally constrained orientations to produce the final binding pose in which the linker rigidly inserts the naphthalene into the hydrophobic S-IIP (Figure 2c). Analysis of the docking poses of the binders revealed that only compound 1 showed the potential for hydrogen bond formation, specifically to R68. We also investigated the potential binding pose of compound 1 using DOCK3.6 (reversible docking), which does not constrain the covalent bond. The pose produced by DOCK3.6 recapitulates the DOCKovalent pose with only a slight rotation of the amide bond of the pyrrolidine-2-carboxamide linker (Figure S3a).

Docking Hit Could Be Optimized to a Selective, Potent Binder. We used the docking model in combination with commercially available building blocks to expedite compound optimization (Table 2). By contrast, previous efforts starting with a disulfide tethering hit required extensive medicinal chemistry to convert the disulfide fragments to acrylamides. In addition to labeling the G12C residue, acrylamide 1 exhibited nonspecific labeling of K-Ras\textsuperscript{WT}, which was a feature important to address during the chemical optimization of the scaffold. The docking model (Figure S4) suggested that hydrophobic substitutions around the naphthalene moiety might be tolerated. Indeed, the testing of a series of substitutions led to the discovery of the 6-bromo-naphthalene modification with improved labeling of 85\% (200 \( \mu M; 24 \) h; 25 °C). Structure–activity relationship analysis of acrylamide 1 also suggested that alterations to the proline linker fine-tuned acrylamide specificity and compound affinity (Table 2). For example, substitution of D-proline for the L-proline abrogated G12C-specific reactivity but maintained comparable labeling to the wild type K-Ras. Additional modification of the proline linker to \( cis-3 \)-hydroxy-L-proline led to the most potent acrylamide 10 (Figure 3a). The proline modification substantially improved the potency of 10, which was able to reach 78\% labeling at 25 \( \mu M \). Compound 10 also does not rely on the binding affinity of a chemically reactive phenol from the early generation G12C inhibitors, which represents an improvement in the druglikeliness of the chemical scaffold.\textsuperscript{5,7}

This compound only labels G12C in the GDP-bound state and does not label endogenous cysteines in the wild-type K-Ras 4B construct (Figure 3b). Compound 10 does not react with the active state of Ras, as indicated by the lack of binding to K-Ras\textsuperscript{G12C} GppNHp. This is comparable to results using a previously reported switch-II inhibitor, compound 11, which was discovered through tethering (Figure 3a).

New Compound Accelerates Nucleotide Exchange. We examined the effect of these compounds on the nucleotide exchange...
exchange rate of K-Ras in vitro using a fluorescence nucleotide exchange assay. SOS-catalyzed exchange of BODIPY-FL GDP and BODIPY-FL GTP in K-RasG12C GDP constructs showed increased exchange of both fluorescent nucleotides in the presence of compound 10 but not with 11 (Figure 3c and d). Acrylamide inhibitors of K-Ras G12C have previously favored GDP binding due to strong steric clash with the γ-phosphate of GTP as well as disruption of key side chain interactions with the terminal phosphate. The mass spectrometry experiments show that 10 prefers to bind inactive K-RasG12C as well. After reacting with G12C, compound 10 may destabilize the switch I region or phosphate loop and cause increased nucleotide cycling.

**Structural Determination of K-RasG12C in Complex with 10.** We attempted to crystallize cys-light K-RasG12C bound to compound 10 using various methods including co-crystallization, soaking, and seeding using preformed crystals from a different S-IIP inhibitor. Co-crystallization experiments were successful, and we determined a co-crystal structure of K-RasG12C covalently bound to compound 10 to 1.75 Å resolution (PDB: 6ARK). Crystallographic evidence reaffirms that the molecule is bound to G12C and suggests that the ligand interaction to the protein is weak (Figure 3e–g). Well-defined electron density confirmed compound 10 was covalently bound to G12C. However, 10 did not occupy the switch-II pocket in the crystal structure but, rather, was making nearby van der Waals interactions with the α2 (switch-II) helix of a nearby symmetry mate (Figure 3f and g; Fo – Fc at 2.0 σ). This characteristic is not unique to compound 10, as other early stage switch-II binders were crystallized with binding poses outside the ligand-binding site. It is unlikely that the crystallographic pose represents the in-solution binding pose. The crystallographic pose may, however, indicate that 10 is not stable in the S-IIP site following the formation of the covalent bond to G12C.

**Compound Binding Destabilizes K-Ras.** In order to assay the protein dynamics of K-Ras bound to 10 and 11, we utilized hydrogen–deuterium exchange mass spectrometry (HDX-MS; Figure 4). HDX-MS is a technique that measures the exchange rate of amide hydrogens with deuterated solvent, and since the main determinant of amide exchange is their involvement in secondary structure, it is an excellent probe of protein dynamics. Experiments were carried out for five time points of H/D exchange (0.3, 3, 30, 300, and 3000 s) in deuterated buffer at pH 7.5. HDX-MS data of K-RasG12C bound to GDP were consistent with previously published reports, confirming the flexibility of both the switch-I and switch-II loops.

---

**Figure 2.** (a) Percent modification of K-RasWT 1–189 vs cys-light K-RasG12C 1–169 by compounds in the docking library (blue) or the empirical library (red). (b) Potential hits from each library. (c) DOCKealant pose of compound 1 bound to K-RasG12C. (d) DOCKealant pose of compound 2 bound to K-RasG12C.

---

**Table 2. Compound 1 Analogue Structure—Activity Relationship**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>K-RasG12C 1−169</th>
<th>K-RasWT 1−189</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>34% [+/-2]</td>
<td>41% [+/-1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0% [+/-0]</td>
<td>51% [+/-2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>65% [+/-3]</td>
<td>66% [+/-1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>85% [+/-1]</td>
<td>38% [+/-3]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>43% [+/-7]</td>
<td>29% [+/-2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>100% [+/-0]</td>
<td>57% [+/-1]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Percentages represent adduct formation to cys-light K-RasG12C 1−169 or K-RasWT 1−189 with 200 μM compound after 24 h at 25 °C by mass spectrometry (±SD, n = 3).
Numerous changes in H/D exchange were observed when comparing apo KRas\textsubscript{G12C} GDP to KRas\textsubscript{G12C} modified by compound 10 or 11. Compound 11 is a confirmed switch-II-binder (PDB: 4M21) and showed decreased exchange in the interswitch region (IR) composed of \( \beta_2 \) and \( \beta_3 \) as well as the switch-II region (Figure 4). Comparatively, 10 also showed decreases in exchange in the IR and switch-II regions and increased exchange of the \( \alpha_3 \) and \( \alpha_4 \) helices.

To assess whether compound binding to K-Ras was in a favorable conformation after covalent bond formation, we used a thermal stability assay which measures the thermal shift associated with compound binding. Recent studies have

**Figure 3.** (a) Structures of compound 10 and switch-II inhibitor 11. (b) Percentages represent adduct formation to K-Ras constructs with 25 \( \mu \)M compound over 24 h at 25 \(^\circ\)C. Sos-catalyzed exchange of apo and compound-labeled K-Ras\textsubscript{G12C} GDP with (c) BODIPY-FL GDP and (d) BODIPY-FL GTP and fluorescence intensity was monitored over time. (e) Co-crystal structure of 10 (blue) and K-Ras\textsubscript{G12C} GDP (gray; PDB: 6ARK). (f) \( F_o - F_c \) omit map (gray mesh, 2.0 \( \sigma \)) of 10 covalently bound to Cys12. (g) Cartoon representation of p-loop (slate), Cys12, and 10 (blue) with indicated residues that make hydrophobic contacts with 10 in a nearby symmetry mate (white).

**Figure 4.** (a) Relative hydrogen-deuterium exchange differences between compound 11-bound K-Ras\textsubscript{G12C} GDP and apo K-Ras\textsubscript{G12C} GDP represented on co-crystal structure with compound 11 (PDB: 4M21). Dashed lines represent disordered regions. (b) Hydrogen-deuterium exchange differences between compound 10-bound K-Ras\textsubscript{G12C} GDP and apo K-Ras\textsubscript{G12C} GDP represented on the docking pose. (c) Thermal stability assay on K-Ras\textsubscript{G12C} with compounds 10 and 11. (d) \( T_{50} \) melting temperatures.
demonstrated that compound stabilization correlates with increased potency. For example, compound 12 in the work of Ostrem et al. showed marked improvement in labeling of G12C (100% labeling using 10μM compound) and also thermal stabilization of K-RasG12C by 4 °C (Figure S5). Compounds 10 and 11 induce 4.7 and 2.4 °C destabilization of K-Ras respectively (Figure 4c and d), indicating they may be destabilizing core regions of the protein upon binding. For compound 10 this is consistent with the increases in H/D exchange observed throughout large regions of the protein. Further structure-activity-relationships will be critical to increase the potency of compound 10.

### CONCLUSIONS

This is the first prospective application of DOCKovalent toward finding an irreversible covalent inhibitor. From the results of this study, covalent docking appears to significantly accelerate early hit discovery against a very challenging and flexible target. The initial screen revealed that 2 of 29 compounds could react with K-RasG12C, a 7% success rate. These results were comparable to an empirical electrophile library screen. DOCKovalent does not take into account the variability of the acrylamide warhead electronics at the covalent attachment point. It is therefore encouraging that it was able to rank two fragments that successfully engage the protein without being overly promiscuous. Future incorporation of warhead reactivity or covalent reversible warheads may further reduce false positive hits from docking. Although the hit rates were not as high as previous covalent docking campaigns, the success rate was still comparable to typical noncovalent virtual screening hit rates.

From the docking hit, we generated a chemically distinct G12C binder which lacked the chemically reactive phenol from the original scaffold. Early generation switch-II pocket inhibitors gained potency through modifications that increased binding to the switch-II pocket region. The cis-3-hydroxy-L-proline linker used in this study increased the potency 8-fold, demonstrating that high affinity linker modifications can enhance scaffold binding. The performance of DOCKovalent is greatly dependent on the input structure. K-Ras is a highly flexible target, particularly in the switch-I and -II loops, which are integral to the ligand-binding site. This study was performed using ligand enrichment of fragment disulfides and vinylsulfonamides on 24 co-crystal structures of K-Ras inhibitors. However, the recent K-RasG12C inhibitor ARS-853 binds to an extended pocket, forming three hydrogen bonds and may not have ranked highly in the original docking screen against the smaller pockets found in earlier K-Ras co-crystal structures. In addition to ligand enrichment, ensemble docking with consensus ranking on distinct structural subsets may improve covalent ligand design against highly flexible targets. Or, perhaps, a flexible receptor procedure, which accounts for crystallographically observed alternative states of side chains and loops, could be incorporated into covalent docking to improve the results.

This study further demonstrates that the switch-I and switch-II loops are tightly coordinated. The S-IIP binders can allosterically communicate across the loops to affect nucleotide recognition and Ras activity. Especially in flexible proteins, the empirical validation of ligand binding needs to consider thermodynamic stability of the ligand-protein interaction. The thermal stability assay demonstrated that 10 was thermodynamically destabilizing the protein. This destabilizing property was consistent with increased H/D exchange and also with increased SOS-catalyzed nucleotide exchange of both GDP and GTP. Covalent binders may have destabilizing noncovalent interactions with the protein after covalent adduct formation. Thus, the thermal stability assay may prove useful as a counter-screening method to measure the stabilizing noncovalent interactions during small molecule optimization. This assay may be particularly useful for classifying K-Ras switch-II compounds as allosteric inhibitors or potential nucleotide state destabilizers.

In this study, we describe a novel small molecule that destabilizes K-Ras and behaves like no other switch-II pocket binder in its unique ability to accelerate SOS-mediated nucleotide exchange. We believe this will help development of novel allosteric modulators of K-Ras that can be used to study aspects of nucleotide exchange.

### METHODS

#### Covalent Docking

Covalent docking was performed using DOCKovalent as described and implemented in DOCK3.6. The covalent bond parameters were set to length = 1.8 Å, bond angles = 109.5° ± 10° (in 2.5° steps).

#### X-ray Crystallization, Data Collection, and Refinement

For X-ray crystallography, 1 mM MgCl2 and 40μM GDP (final concentration) was added to protein additionally purified through size exclusion chromatography. Hanging drop crystallization conditions were set up by mixing 1:1 protein and reservoir solutions. The reservoir contained 5% PEG400, 2 M (NH4)2SO4, 0.1 M HEPES pH 7.5. After several days at 20 °C, crystals were observed. The crystals were cryoprotected in crystallization solution supplemented with 28% glycerol, flash frozen, and stored in liquid nitrogen prior to obtaining diffraction data at the 8.2.1 beamline at the Advanced Light Source of Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratories. Data was indexed with IMOSFLM and scaled and solved using Aimless and Phaser-MR (ccp4i) and then subsequently refined with Phenix to the indicated statistics in Table S4.

#### Synthesis

Few unsubstituted acrylamide fragments are available for purchase. Most acrylamide fragments were synthesized from commercially available 1° and 2° amines using 1.1-fold excess acryloyl chloride or acrylic acid as described in the Supporting Information. After purification, the compounds were made into 5 mM DMSO stocks.

#### Mass Spectrometry Screening

50 μL of 4 μM K-RasG12C 1–169 or K-RasWT 1–189 was incubated with 200 or 25 μM compound (4 or 2% v/v dimethyl sulfoxide respectively) for 24 h at room temperature. The reaction was quenched with 2 μL 10% v/v formic acid to yield 0.4% v/v formic acid final. Mass spectrometry experiments were performed using the Waters Acquity UPLC/ESI-TQD with a 2.1 × 50 mm Acquity UPLC BEH300 C4 column.

#### Nucleotide Exchange Assay

A 45 μL portion of K-Ras(G12C)GDP (111 nM) was prepared in assay buffer (150 mM NaCl, 20 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 104 μM MgCl2, 0.01% Tween) and was added to a 96 well Costar plate. Exchange was catalyzed by the addition of 5 μL of a mixture of 2 μM SOS and 2 μM incoming nucleotide (200 nM final; Thermofisher BODIPY-FL GDP or GTP) and fluorescence intensity was monitored over 1 h at Ex/Eem = 485/520 nm using a BioTek H4.

#### Thermal Stability Assays

The thermal denaturation of K-Ras was monitored using a fluorescence-based differential...
scanning fluorometry assay. K-Ras was purified with or without compound for the use of the experiment as previously described. A 8 μM protein was prepared in assay buffer (150 mM NaCl, 20 mM Hepes, pH 7.5, 1 mM MgCl$_2$) with 1/1000 Sypro Orange. The plate was heated from 25 to 95 °C at a rate of 0.5 °C/min. The fluorescence intensity was monitored at E$_{492}$/E$_{610}$ nm.

**Hydrogen–Deuterium Exchange Mass Spectrometry.** HDX reactions were conducted with 40 pmol of protein and were initiated by the addition of 46 μL of D$_2$O buffer solution (10 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 50 mM NaCl, 97% D$_2$O), to give a final concentration of 87% D$_2$O. Exchange was carried out for 0.3, 3, 30, 300, and 3000 s, and exchange was terminated by the addition of a quench buffer (final concentration 0.6 M guanidine HCl, 0.8% formic acid). Samples were rapidly frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80 °C until mass analysis.

Protein samples were rapidly thawed and injected onto a UPLC system at 2 °C. The protein was run over two immobilized pepsin columns (Applied Biosystems; porosyme, Acquity 1.7 μm particle, 100 × 1 mm$^2$ C18 UPLC column (Waters), using a gradient of 5–36% B (buffer A 0.1% formic acid, buffer B 100% acetonitrile) over 16 min. Mass spectrometry experiments were performed on an Impact II TOF (Bruker) acquiring over a mass range from 150 to 2200 m/z using an electrospray ionization source operated at a temperature of 200 °C and a spray voltage of 4.5 kV. Peptides were identified using data-dependent acquisition methods following tandem MS/MS experiments (0.5 s precursor scan from 150 to 2200 m/z; 12 0.25 s fragment scans from 150 to 2200 m/z). MS/MS data sets were analyzed using PEAKS7 (PEAKS), and a false discovery rate was set at 1% using a database of purified proteins and known contaminants.

Deuterium incorporation calculations were carried out as described previously.36–38 HD-Examiner Software (Sierra Analytics) was used to automatically calculate the level of deuterium incorporation into each peptide. All peptides were manually inspected for correct charge state and presence of overlapping peptides. Deuterium levels were calculated using the centroid of the experimental isotope clusters. Full set of all biochemical results. C.I.N., N.L., K.M.S. performed analysis of all biochemical results.
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